

Note:

Checklist - To be attached at front of the report (after cover page) - Consists of "Sectoral Analysis Checklist" File attached

Other attachments are for report formulation - Consists of "Map requirements File, Dos and Donts, Sectoral goals, JNNURM's CDP Toolkit (Revised) (Can be downloaded from <http://jnnurm.nic.in/toolkits-report-primers.html>)

1. Sectoral analysis

It has been observed that despite of CDP guidelines and comprehensive ToR many of the consultants are not submitting the reports as per requisites. CDPs would be of no meaning if they lack information. Consultants are also translating presentations using softwares which seems quite funny and does serve department's purpose of making people/stakeholders aware towards planning process.

You are requested to kindly translate the Stage -1 (even if Payment is released) and Stage-2 presentations in proper hindi language. Fonts are attached with this mail for your convenience.

1. For second stage submission you are required to fill information in the checklist attached.
2. Census year -2011 should be adopted as base year.
3. Cover page – attached.
4. Dos and Donts attached.
5. Datas must have "source" mentioned along with them.
6. Norms and standards must be mentioned (CPHHEEO, UDPFI etc.).Incase of population projections, justification of using a particular method should be given.It is expected that analysis would be supported with proper maps (as attached) and photographs.
7. The outcome must reflect work of Urban planners (and should not be limited to data collection and presentation).

No leniency should be expected and requested in this regard.

2. Strategies and Vision

Strategies should not be common and vague. For e.g. Delivery of adequate water supply is a common goal which is applicable everywhere, and the related strategy for the same is also common i.e. “water augmentation scheme”.

In the above context what exactly required is; if a town requires Water augmentation scheme than where is the source? Is it perennial? how much capacity it has? Etc.How efficiently and effectively it can be implemented? Are any low cost options available? Who will be the implementing agency? Are any PPP options possible? Answers to these questions should be part of the proposed strategies. These must be linked with the **vision (City Vision 2035) and sector goals** along with the priority considerations. All the strategies must be city specific. Initial cost estimates for system refurbishment and System augmentation should be given. Broad positioning of source etc. should be shown on the map. Cost estimates should be done on the basis of prevailing MPUADD SOR w.e.f 1st June 2011 and other schedule of rates.

Stakeholders suggestions received during the workshop should also be a part of the report. Presentations should be annexed with each report.

Case studies of much advance European cities should not be presented and in place of it Case examples of small towns should be used. Strategies should not be given without mentioning name of the city or city sites because (as per our past experience) in doing so it seems like there are some templates for strategies which have been pasted directly into the reports.

See attached formats to be used in Report:

City Development Plan for various Urban Local Bodies of Madhya Pradesh

All the CDPs must adhere to the guidelines provided by UADD MP. All the points mentioned in the toolkit should be covered in the report. Additional information can be provided.

UADD MP would not approve the CDP unless it adheres to the **toolkit and all the maps (to scale)** are in place.

During the review of the Phase-1 CDPs some of the commonly observed issues and suggestions made for the same have been given below. For other aspects kindly refer to the toolkit.

Cover page should include:

1. Name of the Company
2. Name of the ULB (District), State
3. Submitted to : Urban Administration and Development Department, GoMP



4. Project Co-ordination : City Managers' Association Madhya Pradesh



1. Demography

Population projection figures should be checked with the **2011 Census provisional figures (from ULBs)** and accordingly amended in case a large variation exists. Projections should be done for **2015, 2025 and 2035** only.

Census figures should be checked during demographic analysis as in many cases the consultants present **Municipal council + Out growth** figures which is not acceptable. In some of the towns OGs were included after 2001 and presently these are a part of Municipal limit but for the year 2001 separate figures for Municipal limit should be presented.

2. Socio-economic profile

It is one of the most important aspect for a town which requires proper analysis and potential areas must get explored. As almost 80% towns in Madhya Pradesh have agriculture based economy and rest 20% is dependent on mining, tourism and forests, it becomes necessary to identify the potential industries and opportunities for the town **so that town could become economically sustainable.**

We are presenting below the acceptable and not-acceptable points in the CDP reports.

| Don't | Do s |
|---|---|
| Do not mention "only" that the economy is agro-based | Mention the potential of Mandi (Class of Mandi), total production, name of the crops, possible agro-based industries, land availability for industries possibility of private sector intervention |
| Do not mention "only" that the economy is tourism-based | Mention the potential existing tourist places and un-explored tourism places. Category (as per paying capacity and pilgrim/other) and population of tourists. Local population involvement in tourism sector: whether organized or unorganized and income generation from tourism. Location of informal shops around tourist places etc. Role of M.P. Tourism, Eco-tourism and ULB in enhancing the tourism activities. Possibility of private sector intervention. Land availability for related activities. |
| Do not mention "only" that the economy revolves around industrial activities | Mention the type of Industrial set-up and total number of labors/workers engaged in the sector. What is the rate of workforce dependency of the town population on industries? As in most of the cases Industrial set-up remains isolated and it do not cater the town – population anyway. Potential of developing supporting industrial units and type of the same, an overview on agglomeration economy. Land availability for related activities. Any other intervention required. |
| Do not mention "only" the census figures for Occupational structure and trade and commerce. Do not mention only the Master Plan figures for Occupational structure and | A specific analysis of type of occupation and trade set-up (retail shops, motor-mechanic, welding, Mandi etc.) Land availability for related activities. Any other intervention required. |

City Development Plan for various Urban Local Bodies of Madhya Pradesh

| | |
|--|--|
| trade and commerce as most of these plans were prepared in the year 1999 and updation is very much required. | |
| Do not mention only the census figures for Sex-ratio and literacy rate etc. | A proper analysis of each aspect should be done, like, reason of low literacy or low sex ratio etc. |
| For above all the aspects | In case of industrial set-up with in the town ward wise distinction must be done i.e wards having industries can have comparatively higher rates |

3. Physical planning and growth management

| Don't | Do s |
|---|--|
| Do not mention "only" whatever is presented in the Master Plan/Development plan | Give an overview on Master plan and compare the existing landuse with UDPFI/norms and analyse the possibility of proposing the deficient land-use |
| Do not give land use details for the Planning area "only" | Land-use break-up for Planning area, Municipal area and Developed area should be presented. Status of illegal colonies and adherence to the bye-laws must be included in the report. |
| Do not mention "only" that the town does not have a master plan | Consultants are expected to propose the existing land-use map and broad level proposals |
| Do not mention the Land use categories as mentioned in the Master plan | If the consultant believes, the additional category like sensitive zone/heritage zone can be proposed |

4. City infrastructure

| Don't | Do s |
|--|---|
| Water supply | |
| Do not mention only the information on water supply sources as provided by the ULB | Consultant will have to explore other possibilities too |
| Do not calculate water supply demand@70 lpcd | Calculate water supply demand@135 lpcd |
| Do not show water supply @ 70 lpcd till 2015 @ 100 lpcd till 2025 and @ 135 lpcd till 2035 | Once implemented water supply would be done @ 135 lpcd (it can't be increased quantity wise in the estimation) |
| Do not mention only whatever is proposed in the UIDSSMT | Consultant will have to give a broad level proposal based upon its own analysis |
| Service level benchmarking figures and timelines are available with the ULBs now. It should be mentioned in the report accordingly. | |
| Sewerage | |
| Do not mention only that the town does not have a sewerage system | ❖ Consultant should first analyze the commonly used modes of sewage disposal i.e <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sewer lines if any |

City Development Plan for various Urban Local Bodies of Madhya Pradesh

| | |
|--|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Septic tanks (no. and percentage) • Direct disposal in drains(no. of HHs and percentage) • Open defecation • In case of septic tanks: frequency of cleaning and responsibility of maintenance. Charges levied by the ULB for maintaining the STs. • Short term sewage treatment proposals and long term strategies as laying of sewer lines may not be feasible in short -term |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ <i>In case of industrial set-up with in the town ward wise distinction must be done i.e wards having industries can have comparatively better system</i> ❖ <i>Service level benchmarking figures and timelines are available with the ULBs now. It should be mentioned in the report accordingly.</i> |
| Sanitation | |
| Do not club sanitation with sewerage | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No. of private toilets / community toilets and presence of toilets in slums • Refer the City sanitation survey which was conducted by the ULB in the year 2008-09 • Type of toilets (pay/free use) • Possibility of private sector involvement |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ <i>In case of industrial set-up with in the town ward wise distinction must be done i.e wards having industries can have comparatively better system</i> ❖ <i>Service level benchmarking figures and timelines are available with the ULBs now. It should be mentioned in the report accordingly.</i> |
| Drains | |
| Do not mention only the term drains in the report | <p>Mention (as per scale map of the town)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Primary drain - length • Secondary drain- length • Tertiary drain- length • Nallahs(name of nallahs)- length • Road – drain ratio • Innovative technique for treatment of water getting mixed in to the nallah |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ <i>In case of industrial set-up with in the town ward wise distinction must be done i.e wards having industries can have comparatively better system</i> ❖ <i>Service level benchmarking figures and timelines are available with the ULBs now. It</i> |

City Development Plan for various Urban Local Bodies of Madhya Pradesh

| | |
|---|---|
| | should be mentioned in the report accordingly. |
| Solid Waste Management (SWM) | |
| Do not calculate solid waste generation using the below mentioned method: Total collection (as per ULB)/Town population | It should be calculated as: Town population X 250 gms A detailed analysis on Manpower, equipments, landfill site and current practices is must. DTDC and scientific disposal methods/strategies are |
| Traffic and transport | |
| Do not mention only about congestion etc. | No. of vehicles in the town (see website of MP transport department and get the data of total vehicle registered in the district - calculate the tentative figure for the town from the district data based upon urban – rural scenario) Length of roads Type of roads (Kuttcha/Pucca) WBM RCC etc. Analysis on width and encroachment etc. |
| | ❖ In case of industrial set-up with in the town ward wise distinction must be done i.e wards having industries can have comparatively better system |
| Fire-fighting | |
| Do not mention only about no. of fire – vehicles only | A detailed analysis of the existing scenario regarding infrastructure and man-power requirement should be done. Estimated salaries for the manpower and apparatus/equipments should also be made a part of the report. |
| | ❖ In case of industrial set-up with in the town ward wise distinction must be done i.e wards having industries can have comparatively better system |
| Urban poor | |
| Do not mention only the data as given in the IHSDP report | Consultant must critically examine the Slum population figures and BPL population figures (do not use BPL cards as total BPL population figure), Land tenure details along with infrastructure analysis of all the slums- slum wise 7 point charter analysis is must |
| CIP | |
| Not only for 2015 or 2035 | CIP is required as below: Separate investment should be shown clearly for the three phases 2010-2015 say X 2015 -2025 say Y 2025-2035 say Z Total X+Y+Z |

City Development Plan for various Urban Local Bodies of Madhya Pradesh

| | |
|--|---|
| Do not present only Investment with all the project names | A sector wise summary of investment (excluding detailed projects name) |
| FOP | |
| Do not propose hypothetical FOP and only Spreadsheets Do not directly mention UIDSSMT/IHSDP grant if the projects are not sanctioned | Financial operating plan is the most important exercise and it is required to be done in the following manner: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Analyse the Income-expenditure figures for past 4-5 years 2. Project figures as per current CAGR and other method 3. Show sustenance capacity 4. Introduce 3-4 possible ULB reforms 5. Load investment 6. Show sustenance capacity 7. Introduce all ULB reforms 8. Load investment 9. Show sustenance capacity Propose PPP interventions only where it is feasible (giving examples of successful models) 10. Mention mode of implementation 11. Role of ULB and other agencies <p align="center">(Use pattern of grant like 80:10:10 only in case the projects are approved)</p> |
| Institutional framework | |
| Do not only mention the existing Institutional framework of ULB | Staff deficiency and technical/supervisory staff requirement must get addressed. Awareness/Community development officer can also be proposed |
| Other | |
| SWOT | |
| Should not be in the last chapter | Should be done for each sector separately and with each chapter |
| Strength/Weakness can not be nil | Its Consultant's job to identify each aspect of SWOT |
| Strategies | |
| Should not be in the last chapter | Should be done for each sector separately and with each chapter |
| | Strategies must be supported with existing and proposed maps |
| Translation | |
| Should not be done using software's | |
| Special paper (Preparation of special paper should be done as per town's requirement) | |

City Profile

| | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------------------|---|---|
| Company Name | | XYZ | | |
| ULB Name | | Rainagar | | |
| Whether the Sectoral Analysis report is as per UADD requisites | | Yes | | |
| Town Brief | Geographical location (Town) | Latitude | 23 ⁰ 12 | |
| | | Longitude | 80 ⁰ 14 | |
| | Average rainfall (annual) | MM | 500 | |
| | Height above mean sea level | Mts | 530 | |
| | Municipal area (1991) | Sq kms | 21.4 | |
| | Municipal area (2001) | Sq kms | 26.8 | |
| | Municipal area (2011) | Sq kms | 0 | |
| | Date of Constitution of ULB | Date | | |
| | Historic importance | In one or two sentences | Named after the famous king xyz of Bukara region. Got status of Nagar Parishad in the year 1965 | |
| Population | Population Year 1981 (under Municipal boundary only) | Town level | 5772 | |
| | Population Year 1991 (under Municipal boundary only) | | 6338 | |
| | Population Year 2001 (under Municipal boundary only) | | 7005 | |
| | Population Year 2011 (under Municipal boundary only) | | 0 | |
| | Name of Population projection Method | | Arithmetic Increase | |
| | Projected population adopted Year 2015 | | 8990 | |
| | Projected population adopted Year 2025 | | 9150 | |
| | Projected population adopted Year 2035 | | 14500 | |
| Land Use | Land Use | % Standard (as per UDPFI) | Land use (Tentative in Sq kms) | Land use (Tentative in percentage) |
| | Residential | | 17.6 | 48.09 |
| | Commercial | | 2 | 5.46 |
| | Public - semi public | | 4 | 10.93 |
| | Agriculture | | 6 | 16.39 |
| | Industrial | | 0.5 | 1.37 |
| | Roads | | 4.5 | 12.30 |
| | Green area | | 0 | 0.00 |
| | Sensitive | | 0 | 0.00 |
| | Water bodies | | 2 | 5.46 |
| | Total | | 36.6 | 100.00 |

City Profile (Ward Wise)

| Ward # | Ward 1 | Ward 2 | Ward 3 | Ward 4 | Ward 5 | Ward 6 | Ward 7 | Ward 8 | Ward 9 | Ward 10 | Ward 11 | Ward 12 | Ward 13 | Ward 14 | Ward 15 | Total |
|---|------------|---------------|--------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| Name of ward | Shivaji | M Gandhi | Bose | Tiwar | Laxmi bai | Naidu | . | . | . | . | . | Kabir | Patel | Nehru | Azad | |
| Length of Kuccha road (Mts) | 40 | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Length of State Highway | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Length of National Highway | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Length of Road side drains Pucca (Mts) | 30 | 60 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Length of Natural drains (Nallah) Pucca/Channelized (Mts) | 0 | 85 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Length of Natural drains (Nallah) Kuttcha (Mts) | 45 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No. of Streetlights | 12 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No. of Electricity connections | 3 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Name of Tourist site if any | Dola Talab | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Name of Heritage site if any | 0 | Rama Stepwell | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bus stop (No.) | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bus stand(No.) | 0 | 0 | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Parks (No.) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Playground(No.) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No. of Residential properties | 40 | 30 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| No. of Commercial properties | 11 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Property tax collection (in Rs.) | 30000 | 45000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Property tax coverage(in %) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Available Government land (Sq kms) | 0 | 0 | 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Remarks | | | | | | | | | | Govt Hospital | | | | | | |

Sectoral Analysis

| | | Existing Source | | | |
|---|--|---|---|------|------------|
| | | Source | Tubewell | Well | River/Lake |
| Physical Infrastructure | Water Supply | No. of Tubewell / River / Well | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| | | Water Supplied by Tubewell / River / Well in MLD | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| | | Total water supply in the town (MLD) | 3.2 | | |
| | | Existing Supply rate (LPCD) considering distribution losses | 45 | | |
| | | Water Charges per household per month (Rs.) Flat/Metered | 60 (Flat) | | |
| | | % Coverage under paid water supply | 45 | | |
| | | Whether any treatment plant exists (Y/N),If yes mention capacity (MLD) | 0 | | |
| | | Proposed source (Surface) | Betwa river | | |
| | | Sewerage | Total sewage generation (MLD) | 2.56 | |
| | Whether any treatment plant exists (Y/N),If yes mention capacity | | No | | |
| | Total no. of individual septic tanks | | 665 | | |
| | Total no. of community septic tanks | | 2 | | |
| | Total no. of Sewage/Mud pumps available with the ULB | | 1 | | |
| | Frequency of Cleaning Individual Septic tanks | | As required (Once in 6 months) | | |
| | Drainage | Frequency of Cleaning Community Septic tanks | As required (Once in 6 months) | | |
| | | Name of natural nallah (Storm water drain) | Gufa Nallah | | |
| | | Length of natural nallah (Storm water drain) Kms | 3.5 | | |
| | | Ultimate disposal point of nallah | Kaala Talab | | |
| | | Length of road side drain (Kms) | 8.5 | | |
| | | Coverage of road side drainage w.r.t roads (%) | 40 | | |
| | | Ultimate disposal point of Road side drains | To Gufa Nallah and Kaala Talab | | |
| | SWM | Any treatment plant/procedure adopted | Root Zone treatment initiated, Chemical Spray practiced | | |
| | | Per capita Solid waste generation (Considering Standards) (in gms) | 250 | | |
| | | Total SW generation (in Tons) | 1.99 | | |
| | | Frequency of SW collection by the ULB (1 time per day/2 times per day) | 1 | | |
| | | Collection efficiency of the ULB (%) | 60 | | |
| | | Any initiative for DTDC (Yes /No) | No | | |
| | | Any initiative for scientific disposal of waste | No | | |
| | | Name of dumping/ landfill site | Kabadkhana area | | |
| | | Is the existing site Dumping site or allotted site for Scientific disposal | Allotted site | | |
| | | Area of allotted landfill site for Scientific disposal | | | |
| | | Distance of the Dumping site/landfill site from main settlement area (Kms) | 2.7 | | |
| | No. of Tractor trolleys/vehicles available with the ULB for carrying Solid waste to the LF site | 2 Trolleys | | | |
| If site for Scientific disposal is not allotted then whether formally requested by the ULB | | | | | |

Sectoral Analysis

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|-------------|------------------|
| Physical Infrastructure | Roads | Name of National Highway passing from or nearby from the town (NH-XYZ) | | NH-6 |
| | | Distance of National Highway if nearby from the town (NH-XYZ) in Kms | | |
| | | Name of State Highway passing from or nearby from the town (SH-XYZ) | | SH-24 |
| | | Distance of State Highway if nearby from the town (SH-XYZ) in Kms | | 2 kms |
| | | Total length of Pucca roads (Kms) | CC | 8 |
| | | | WBM | 18 |
| | | | Total (kms) | 26 |
| | | Total length of Kuccha roads (kms) | (kms) | 24 |
| | Gap w.r.t Standards | (kms) | 20 | |
| | Traffic & transportation | Total no. of vehicles in the town | | 889 |
| | | Bus stand (yes/No) | | Yes |
| | | Any intracity mass transport mode (yes/no) | Yes | Mini-Bus service |
| | | Name of locations facing major traffic issues | 1 | Gandhi chowk |
| | | | 2 | Kapda bazar |
| | | | 3 | Mandi road |
| | Name of the street beautified as per the instructions of UADD | Madan mahal road | 2.5 kms | |
| | Street lighting | Total no. of street lights | | 195 |
| | | No. of Streetlights under working condition | | 180 |
| | | No. of Streetlights having Tubes | | 45 |
| | | No. of Streetlights having CFL | | 20 |
| | | No. of Streetlights having Incandescent bulbs | | 125 |
| | | No. of Streetlights having LED | | 0 |
| | | No. of Streetlights having LPS | | 25 |
| | Power | Location of Substation http://www.mptransco.nic.in | 400 KV | Jabalpur |
| | | | 220KV | |
| | | | 132KV | |
| | | Total no. of residential connections | | 1200 |
| Total no. of Commercial connections | | 230 | | |
| Any subsidy for BPL (Y/N) | | Y (Single phase) | | |
| Duration of Electricity supply per day (in Hrs) | | 14 | | |

Sectoral Analysis

| | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| Heritage & tourism | Heritage & tourism | Name of Heritage site/s | | Rama Stepwell |
| | | Ownership/agency | | State Archives, GoMP |
| | | Prevailing Heritage Act/s | | State Antiquities Act, 199.. |
| | | Name of Tourist site/s | | Dola Talab |
| | | Ownership/agency | | ULB |
| | Total no. of Pilgrims/ Tourists visiting town per day | | 48 (Reaches around 2000-3000 on Mahashivratri) | |
| | Environment | Name of River/Lake/Forest range/Any specific species | | Betwa river (Vindyachal forest/White deer) |
| | | Prevailing Environmental Act/s | | Madhya Pradesh Environ....Act 199.. |
| Areas facing threats | | Temple Ghat of Betwa river | | |
| Social Infrastructure | Health | No. of Primary Health centres/Dispensary | | 4 |
| | | No. of Hospitals | Government | 1 |
| | | | Beds | 16 |
| | | | Private | 2 |
| | | | Beds | 14 |
| | | Multispeciality hospital if any (Y/N) | Yes | Babajirao Memorial |
| | Name of Nearby town reffered for Treatment | Name of town | Ameth Nagar Palika | |
| | | Distance (Kms) | 40 | |
| | Education | No. of Primary schools | 6 | 600 (Students capacity) |
| | | No. of Secondary/High schools | 2 | 1200 (Students capacity) |
| | | No. of Colleges | 0 | 550 (Students capacity) |
| | | No. of ITI | 1 | 235(Students capacity) |
| | Social security schemes | No. of Beneficiaries under SJSRY (Street Vendor) | | 56 |
| | | No. of Beneficiaries under Haath thela/Rickshaw chalak yojna | | 4 |
| | | No. of Rain Basera/Night Shelter | | 1 |
| | | Ownership of Rain Basera (with ULB/Rental) | | ULB |
| No. of Beneficiaries under Gharelu Kamkaji Mahila Yojana | | 20 | | |
| Name of other Social security schemes | | | Name of | Beneficiaries |
| | | | Deendayal antodaya | 56 |
| | | Widow pension | 23 | |
| | | | | |

Municipal Finance (Should be filled carefully and it should be checked whether the capital income is factual or based on assumption)

| Year | | 2005-06 | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 |
|------------------------------------|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Revenue Income | Rates and Tax Revenue | | | | | | |
| | Assigned Revenues & Compensation | | | | | | |
| | Rental Income from Municipal Properties | | | | | | |
| | Fees & User Charges | | | | | | |
| | Sale & Hire Charges | | | | | | |
| | Revenue Grants, Contribution and Subsidies | | | | | | |
| | Income from Investments | | | | | | |
| | Interest Earned | | | | | | |
| Other Income | | | | | | | |
| Total - Revenue Income | | | | | | | |
| Capital Receipts | Grants, Contribution for specific purposes | | | | | | |
| | Secured Loans | | | | | | |
| | Unsecured Loans | | | | | | |
| | Deposits | | | | | | |
| | Deposit works | | | | | | |
| Total Capital Receipts | | | | | | | |
| Revenue Expenditure | Establishment Expenses | | | | | | |
| | Administrative Expenses | | | | | | |
| | Operations & Maintenance | | | | | | |
| | Interest & Finance Charges | | | | | | |
| | Programme Expenses | | | | | | |
| | Revenue Grants, Contribution and Subsidies | | | | | | |
| | Miscellaneous Expenses | | | | | | |
| | Transfer to Fund | | | | | | |
| Total - Revenue Expenditure | | | | | | | |
| Capital Expenditures | Fixed Assets | | | | | | |
| | Capital Work-in-Progress | | | | | | |
| | Investments -General Fund | | | | | | |
| | Investments-Other Funds | | | | | | |
| | Stocks/Inventory | | | | | | |
| | Loans, Advances and Deposits | | | | | | |
| | Other Assets | | | | | | |
| | Miscellaneous Expenditure | | | | | | |
| Total Capital Expenditure | | | | | | | |
| Total Income | | | | | | | |
| Total Expenditure | | | | | | | |

Note: Refer Madhya Pradesh Municipal Accounting Manual for further details. Can be downloaded from "Download" Section of www.mpurban.gov.in.

SECTORIAL GOALS

| YEAR | | Components | 2015 | 2025 | 2035 | City specific Strategies | Preliminary estimate | Implementing agency | Mode of Implementation (PPP etc.) |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|---|------|------|------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| PHYSICAL INFRASTRUCTURE | WATER SUPPLY | Network coverage to households | % | % | % | | | | |
| | | Per capita supply as per norms (135 lpcd) | | | | | | | |
| | | 24/7 water supply | | | | | | | |
| | | Quality of water | | | | | | | |
| | | Non revenue water | | | | | | | |
| | | Consumer metering | | | | | | | |
| | | Cost recovery | | | | | | | |
| | | Roof water harvesting | | | | | | | |
| | | Private sector participation | | | | | | | |
| | DRAINAGE | Storm water drainage network coverage | | | | | | | |
| | | Rehabilitation of existing pucca drains | | | | | | | |
| | | Rehabilitation of existing primary nallahs and water bodies | | | | | | | |
| | | Flood prone areas | | | | | | | |
| | SEWERAGE & SANITATION | Sewer network coverage to households | | | | | | | |
| | | Sewage Treatment and disposal arrangements | | | | | | | |
| | | Sewage Recycling and reuse | | | | | | | |
| | | Cost recovery (as a % of O&M) | | | | | | | |
| | | Safe sanitation facilities (with focus on Urban poor) | | | | | | | |

SECTORIAL GOALS

| YEAR | Components | 2015 | 2025 | 2035 | City specific Strategies | Preliminary estimate | Implementing agency | Mode of Implementation (PPP etc.) | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|------|------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| PHYSICAL INFRASTRUCTURE | SWM | Door-to-door collection system | | | | | | | |
| | | Source segregation | | | | | | | |
| | | Improve waste collection efficiency | | | | | | | |
| | | Mechanized waste handling | | | | | | | |
| | | Scientific waste disposal | | | | | | | |
| | | Landfill site adequacy | | | | | | | |
| | | Cost recovery of O&M | | | | | | | |
| | | Private sector participation | | | | | | | |
| | ROADS & TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT | New road formations | | | | | | | |
| | | Road upgradations | | | | | | | |
| | | Widening/ strengthening | | | | | | | |
| | | ROBs/flyovers | | | | | | | |
| | | Development of bus and truck terminus | | | | | | | |
| | | Junctions and traffic signal improvements | | | | | | | |
| | | Mass transit system | | | | | | | |
| | | Parking facilities | | | | | | | |
| | STREET LIGHTNING | New Installation | | | | | | | |
| | | Upgradation | | | | | | | |
| | | Underground cabling | | | | | | | |
| | | Energy savings | | | | | | | |
| | POWER | 24/7 electricity supply | | | | | | | |
| | | 100 % electricity supply | | | | | | | |
| | | Development of alternative energy sources | | | | | | | |
| FIRE FIGHTING | 100% Fire safety coverage | | | | | | | | |
| | Fully equipped fire station | | | | | | | | |

SECTORIAL GOALS

| YEAR | | Components | 2015 | 2025 | 2035 | City specific Strategies | Preliminary estimate | Implementing agency | Mode of Implementation (PPP etc.) |
|--------------------|--------------------|--|------|------|------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| HERITAGE & TOURISM | HERITAGE & TOURISM | Identify archaeological resources in the city (Heritage listing) | | | | | | | |
| | | Development of green areas as per norms | | | | | | | |
| | | Water bodies preservation/Conservation | | | | | | | |
| | | Tourist accommodation adequacy | | | | | | | |
| | | Tourist attraction and circuits regional and city level | | | | | | | |
| | ENVIRONMENT | Clean and healthy environment | | | | | | | |
| | | Conserved natural environment - water bodies | | | | | | | |
| | | Eco-friendly vehicles | | | | | | | |
| | | Disposal of treated sewage in nallahs | | | | | | | |
| | | Desilting of nallahs | | | | | | | |
| | | Incineration of bio-medical waste | | | | | | | |
| | | Implementation of norms/standards for pollution control | | | | | | | |

SECTORIAL GOALS

| YEAR | | Components | 2015 | 2025 | 2035 | City specific Strategies | Preliminary estimate | Implementing agency | Mode of Implementation (PPP etc.) |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|--|------|------|------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| SOCIAL INFRASTRUCTURE | HEALTH | 100% doctor-patient ratio | | | | | | | |
| | | 100% patient-bed ratio | | | | | | | |
| | | Effective implementation of health programmes and related services in the slum areas of the city | | | | | | | |
| | | Regular checks for water contamination in all water bodies of the city | | | | | | | |
| | EDUCATION | Literacy rates | | | | | | | |
| | | Ensure 100% enrollment in primary schools | | | | | | | |
| | | 100% Teacher student ratio | | | | | | | |
| | | Technical, engineering & medical education in the city | | | | | | | |
| | RECREATIONAL | Developed existing parks | | | | | | | |
| | | Area coverage under recreational facilities | | | | | | | |
| | | Playing facilities | | | | | | | |
| | | Provision for green-belts in future development plans | | | | | | | |
| | | Sufficient infrastructure at mela/exhibition ground | | | | | | | |
| | Social security schemes | Security of tenure for urban poor | | | | | | | |
| | | Inclusion of 100% urban poor population under various central and state govts social sectors schemes | | | | | | | |
| | | Pucca housing for urban poor | | | | | | | |

SECTORIAL GOALS

| YEAR | | Components | 2015 | 2025 | 2035 | City specific Strategies | Preliminary estimate | Implementing agency | Mode of Implementation (PPP etc.) |
|--------------------|--------------------|--|------|------|------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| SLUMS & URBAN POOR | SLUMS & URBAN POOR | Access to water connection | | | | | | | |
| | | Access to public toilets and urinal facilities for all slum households | | | | | | | |
| | | Access to dustbins and secondary storage points | | | | | | | |
| | | Upgradation of kutcha roads to PCC roads in slums | | | | | | | |
| | | Improved drainage systems | | | | | | | |
| | | Adequate street lighting facilities | | | | | | | |
| | | Education facilities | | | | | | | |
| | | Community halls etc. | | | | | | | |
| | | Adequate health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | | Security of tenure for urban poor | | | | | | | |

Minimum requirement of Maps for CDP

| | | |
|--|--|------------------------------------|
| District level Mapping | Location map with respect to country/ state/ district | Acceptable : To scale/Not to Scale |
| | District map showing Railway and road network (Map should not be copied directly and it should not have copyright issue) | Acceptable : To scale/Not to Scale |
| City level mapping | Base Map of the town (Highlighting clear Municipal limit and land marks) | Acceptable : To the scale only |
| | Ward map (showing ward limit) | Acceptable : To the scale only |
| | Existing Landuse map (digitised) | Acceptable : To the scale only |
| | Existing Road network map (showing major and arterial roads , Kuccha roads and highlighting congestion prone areas,bus stops/stand and railway station) | Acceptable : To the scale only |
| | Drainage system map (Existing flow direction of waste water and ultimate disposal areas,flood prone areas if any) | Acceptable : To the scale only |
| | Water supply map (Existing source,position of OHTs water supply mains) | Acceptable : To the scale only |
| | Solid waste (garbage dumping areas, existing location of landfill site) | Acceptable : To the scale only |
| | Social infrastructure (map showing Hospitals, schools, colleges,temples,police station ,railway station bus stand,parks/playgrounds administrative offices etc) | Acceptable : To the scale only |
| | Slum location map (Location of slum pockets"not slum wards" | Acceptable : To the scale only |
| Proposed landuse map (Broad overview) | Acceptable : To the scale only | |
| Proposed Maps | Proposed Road network map | Acceptable : To the scale only |
| | Proposed Drainage system map (showing alternative disposal site) | Acceptable : To the scale only |
| | Proposed Sewerage system map (showing Location of Sewage treatment plant etc) | Acceptable : To the scale only |
| | Proposed Water supply map (Proposed source,position of OHTs water supply mains) | Acceptable : To the scale only |
| | Proposed Solid waste management (location of landfill site) | Acceptable : To the scale only |
| | Social infrastructure (Proposed interventions if any) | Acceptable : To the scale only |

Note :

1. Maps should not be copied directly and it should not have copyright issue.
2. All the maps should be presented with North,Scale and title.(Nomenclature of Major roads in all the maps is expected)